2018 International Arbitration Survey

Brexit fails to dampen London's popularity for international arbitration



97%

of respondents expressed that international arbitration is their preferred method of resolving cross-border disputes



of respondents are likely to choose international arbitration in the future

Most preferred seats



64%

LONDON



PARIS




53%



SINGAPORE

39%



HONG KONG

28%



GENEVA

26%



NEW YORK



22%




STOCKHOLM

12%

The impact of Brexit



of respondents think that **Brexit** is unlikely to impact the use of London as a seat



of respondents think Paris is the seat that will most benefit from the impact of Brexit


Use of information technology to aid efficiency in arbitration



of respondents think the desire for increased efficiency, including through technology, will direct the future evolution of international arbitration


Technology that should be used more often in arbitration 98% 91% 89% 66% Hearing room technologies Artificial intelligence Cloud-based storage Virtual hearing rooms Videoconferencing


Most preferred arbitral institutions




Diversity across arbitral tribunals


Respondents who agree there has been progress made in the last five years in respect of different aspects of diversity










GEOGRAPHIC







of respondents think that arbitral institutions are best placed to ensure greater diversity across tribunals



of respondents believe that arbitral institutions are best placed to make an impact on the future evolution of international arbitration



